

A STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS WITH APPLICATIONS TO SELF-SIMILAR STABLE PROCESSES

ERKAN NANE, YIMIN XIAO, AND AKLILU ZELEKE

ABSTRACT. Let $p \in (0, \infty)$ be a constant and let $\{\xi_n\} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a sequence of random variables. For any integers $m, n \geq 0$, denote $S_{m,n} = \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} \xi_k$. It is proved that, if there exist a nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (which satisfies a mild regularity condition) and an appropriately chosen integer $a \geq 2$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p < \infty,$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{0,n}}{\varphi(n)} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This extends Theorem 1 in Levental, Chobanyan and Salehi [3] and can be applied conveniently to a wide class of self-similar processes with stationary increments including stable processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study on strong law of large numbers has a long history and there is a vast body of references on this topic. This note is motivated by our interest in studying asymptotic properties of stochastic processes with heavy-tailed distributions. Typical examples of such processes are linear fractional stable motion and harmonizable fractional stable motion. See Samorodnitsky and Taqqu [7] and Embrechts and Maejima [4].

Let $p \in (0, \infty)$ be a constant and let $\{\xi_n\} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a sequence of random variables. For any integers $m, n \geq 0$, denote

$$S_{m,n} = \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} \xi_k, \quad M_{m,n} = \max_{k \leq n} |S_{m,k}|.$$

For any nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(x) \uparrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we say that $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies the SLLN with respect to φ (or φ -SLLN) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{0,n}}{\varphi(n)} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The following is the main result of this paper, which is an extension of Theorem 1 in Levental, Chobanyan and Salehi [3] who considered the case of $p > 1$ and $\varphi(n) = n$. Our result improves their theorem in two aspects: (i) it gives sharper norming constants

Key words and phrases. Strong law of large numbers; moment inequality; self-similar processes; stable processes.

Research of Y. Xiao was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0706728.

(see Remark 1.2 below) and (ii) it can be applied conveniently to a wide class of self-similar processes with stationary increments such as self-similar α -stable processes for all $\alpha \in (0, 2]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p > 0$ be a constant and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nondecreasing function such that $\varphi(x) \uparrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $C_1 \leq \varphi(2x)/\varphi(x) \leq C_2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for some constants $C_2 \geq C_1 > 1$. Assume $a \geq 2$ is an integer that satisfies $C_1^{p \lceil \log_2 a \rceil} \geq \max\{2, 2^p\}$. If we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p < \infty,$$

then $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies the φ -SLLN.

Remark 1.2. If $\varphi(n) = n^q$ ($q > 0$) or $\varphi(n) = n^q(\log n)^\beta$ for $q > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then φ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1. From Theorem 1.1 it is easy to see that if $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean 0 and variance 1, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{0,n}}{\sqrt{n \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Information on higher moments of $S_{k,n}$ leads to improvement on the power of $\log n$. For example, if $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. standard normal random variables, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{0,n}}{\sqrt{n} (\log n)^\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Even though (1.2) and (1.3) are less precise than the law of the iterated logarithm, the advantage of this method is that it is still applicable when the random variables $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are dependent or non-Gaussian.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The proof of Theorem 1.1 is a modification of the proof of Theorem 1 in Levental, Chobanyan and Salehi [3].

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We first consider $p > 1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$(1.4) \quad M_{k,a^{n+1}} \leq \max\{M_{k,a^n}, |S_{k,a^n}| + M_{k+a^n,a^n}\}.$$

Using the elementary inequality $|x + y|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|x|^p + |y|^p)$ we get

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{k,a^{n+1}}^p &\leq \max\left\{M_{k,a^n}^p, 2^{p-1}(|S_{k,a^n}|^p + M_{k+a^n,a^n}^p)\right\} \\ &\leq (2^{p-1} - 1)|S_{k,a^n}|^p + M_{k,a^n}^p + 2^{p-1}M_{k+a^n,a^n}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (1.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,a^{n+1}}^p - |S_{k,a^{n+1}}|^p &\leq M_{k,a^n}^p - |S_{k,a^n}|^p + 2^{p-1}\left(M_{k+a^n,a^n}^p - |S_{k+a^n,a^n}|^p\right) \\ &\quad - |S_{k,a^{n+1}}|^p + 2^{p-1}|S_{k,a^n}|^p + 2^{p-1}|S_{k+a^n,a^n}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $\varphi(a^{n+1})^p$, taking expectations, and then the supremum over all k 's, we get

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{n+1} &\leq \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} F_n + \frac{2^{p-1}\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} F_n + G_n \\ &= \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} (1 + 2^{p-1}) F_n + G_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad F_n = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{M_{k,a^n}^p - |S_{k,a^n}|^p}{\varphi(a^n)^p} \right)$$

and

$$G_n = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\{ \frac{2^{p-1} \varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k+a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p \right) + \frac{2^{p-1} \varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k,a^{n+1}}}{\varphi(a^{n+1})} \right|^p \right) \right\}.$$

Now by the assumptions on φ and a , we have

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} (1 + 2^{p-1}) \leq \frac{1 + 2^{p-1}}{C_1^{\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor}} := c < 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then $F_{n+1} \leq cF_n + G_n$ and it is easy to show by induction in n that

$$F_{n+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n c^{n-k} G_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

By summing up (1.6) from $n = 0$ to ∞ we get

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{M_{a^n, a^n}^p - |S_{a^n, a^n}|^p}{\varphi(a^n)^p} \right) \leq \frac{1}{1-c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n.$$

It follows from (1.1) that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n < \infty$.

Next we consider the case $0 < p \leq 1$ and establish an inequality similar to (1.9). Using (1.4) and the elementary inequality $|x + y|^p \leq |x|^p + |y|^p$ as in [3] we get

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{k,a^{n+1}}^p &\leq \max \{ M_{k,a^n}^p, |S_{k,a^n}|^p + M_{k+a^n, a^n}^p \} \\ &\leq M_{k,a^n}^p + M_{k+a^n, a^n}^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k,a^{n+1}}^p - |S_{k,a^{n+1}}|^p &\leq M_{k,a^n}^p - |S_{k,a^n}|^p + (M_{k+a^n, a^n}^p - |S_{k+a^n, a^n}|^p) \\ &\quad - |S_{k,a^{n+1}}|^p + |S_{k,a^n}|^p + |S_{k+a^n, a^n}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $\varphi(a^{n+1})^p$, taking expectations, and then the supremum over all k 's, we derive

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{n+1} &\leq \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} F_n + \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} F_n + H_n \\ &= \frac{2\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} F_n + H_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where F_n is defined as in (1.7) and

$$H_n = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(2^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k+a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p \right) + \frac{\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{S_{k,a^{n+1}}}{\varphi(a^{n+1})} \right|^p \right) \right\}.$$

Again, it follows from the assumptions on φ and a that

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{2\varphi(a^n)^p}{\varphi(a^{n+1})^p} \leq \frac{2}{C_1^{p\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor}} := c < 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

By using induction in n we derive

$$F_{n+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n c^{n-k} H_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Hence, by summing up (1.11) from $n = 0$ to ∞ we get

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{M_{a^n, a^n}^p - |S_{a^n, a^n}|^p}{\varphi(a^n)^p} \right) \leq \frac{1}{1-c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n.$$

Eq. (1.1) implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n < \infty$.

By combining (1.9) and (1.13), we see that almost surely

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}^p - |S_{a^n, a^n}|^p}{\varphi(a^n)^p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that (1.1) also implies that

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{|S_{a^n, a^n}|}{\varphi(a^n)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, we obtain almost surely

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$(1.17) \quad \inf_n \frac{\varphi(a^{n+1})}{\varphi(a^n)} \geq C_1^{\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor} > 1$$

we have

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} &= \frac{\varphi(a^{n+1}) - \varphi(a^n)}{\varphi(a^n)} \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^{n+1}) - \varphi(a^n)} \\ &\geq (C_1^{\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor} - 1) \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^{n+1}) - \varphi(a^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(1.19) \quad \frac{M_{a^n, a^n}}{\varphi(a^{n+1}) - \varphi(a^n)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now by the assumption on φ ,

$$(1.20) \quad \frac{\varphi(a^{n+1})}{\varphi(a^n)} \leq C_2^{\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor}$$

and by using Theorem 9.1 in Chobanyan, Leventhal and Mandrekar [2], we see that (1.19) implies

$$(1.21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{0,n}}{\varphi(n)} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 which is often convenient to use.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a sequence of random variables such that for some $0 < p < \infty$ and for all integers $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*

$$\mathbb{E}|S_{k,n}|^p \leq g(n)$$

for a numerical function g . If there is a nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as in Theorem 1.1 such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(2^n)}{\varphi(2^n)^p} < \infty,$$

then $\{\xi_n, n \geq 0\}$ satisfies the φ -SLLN.

2. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we show applications of Theorem 1.1 to quasi-stationary sequences of random variables and self-similar processes with stationary increments.

2.1. Sequence of quasi-stationary random variables. Strong laws of large numbers for sequences of quasi-stationary random variables have been considered by several authors; see [5, 2] and the references therein. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative function. We say that a real or complex-valued sequence $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is *f-quasi-stationary* if $\mathbb{E}(\xi_k) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_l \bar{\xi}_{l+m})| \leq f(m), \quad l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The following result refines Theorem 1 in [5] and Corollary 2 in [2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be an f-quasi-stationary sequence and let φ be a non-decreasing function as in Theorem 1.1. Define*

$$h(m) \equiv \sum_{n=\lfloor \log_a m \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{\varphi(a^n)^2}.$$

If $D := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{\varphi(a^n)^2} < \infty$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m)h(m) < \infty,$$

then the φ -SLLN holds for $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

Proof. By the f-quasi-stationarity of $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, we derive that for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^{a^n} \frac{f(m)(a^n - m)}{\varphi(a^n)^2} \leq \frac{a^n}{\varphi(a^n)^2} \sum_{m=0}^{a^n} f(m).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{a^n} \frac{a^n f(m)}{\varphi(a^n)^2} \\
&\leq Df(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\lfloor \log_a m \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{a^n f(m)}{\varphi(a^n)^2} \\
&\leq Df(0) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m)h(m) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

2.2. Self-similar processes with stationary increments. Recall that a stochastic process $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$ is called a self-similar process with index $H > 0$ if for all constants $c > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \{X(ct), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{c^H X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\},$$

where $\stackrel{d}{=}$ means equality of all finite dimensional distributions. X is said to have stationary increments if for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(2.3) \quad \{X(t_0 + t) - X(t_0), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{X(t) - X(0), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

If X satisfies both (2.2) and (2.3), then we say that X is H -SSSI. We refer to Samorodnitsky and Taqqu [7] and Embrechts and Maejima [4] for systematic accounts on self-similar processes.

The following theorem is concerned with asymptotic behavior of the sample function $X(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a real-valued H -SSSI process. If there is a constant $p > \max\{1, 1/H\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p) < \infty$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|X(t)|}{t^H (\log t)^{\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof. For any integer $n \geq 1$, define $\xi_n = X(n+1) - X(n)$. Then $\{\xi_n\}$ is stationary with $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_n|^p) < \infty$. Let $\varphi(t) = t^H (\log t)^{\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon}$ and let $a \geq 2$ be an integer which satisfies the condition in Theorem 1.1. By the H -SSSI property of X , we derive that for all $k \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}(|S_{k,a^n}|^p) = \mathbb{E}(|X(k+a^n) - X(k)|^p) = a^{nHp} \mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p).$$

This implies

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{S_{k,a^n}}{\varphi(a^n)} \right|^p < \infty.$$

Since $H > 0$, we have $X(0) = 0$ a.s. [7, p. 312]. It follows from this fact and Theorem 1.1 that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X(n)}{n^H (\log n)^{\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

To show (2.6) still holds for continuous time t , we need an estimate on the tail probability of $\max_{t \in [0,1]} |X(t)|$. To this end, we note that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$X\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \left[X\left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right) - X\left(\frac{\ell-1}{n}\right) \right].$$

Hence, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{\ell=i}^j \left[X\left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right) - X\left(\frac{\ell-1}{n}\right) \right] \right|^p \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\left| X\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - X\left(\frac{i-1}{n}\right) \right|^p \right) \\ = \left(\frac{j-i+1}{n} \right)^{Hp} \mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p),$$

where the second equality follows from the H -SSSI property of X . Since $p > \min\{1, 1/H\}$, we see that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 in Móricz, Serfling and Stout [6] are satisfied with

$$g(i, j) = [\mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p)]^{1/(Hp)} \frac{j-i+1}{n}$$

which satisfies Condition (1.2) in [6]. It follows that

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |X(t)|^p \right) \leq K_1 \mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p) < \infty,$$

where $K_1 > 0$ is an explicit constant depending on H and p only. Combining (2.8) with the Markov inequality gives

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |X(t)| \geq u \right) \leq K_1 \mathbb{E}(|X(1)|^p) u^{-p}.$$

It follows from (2.9) and the H -SSSI property of X that for any $\eta > 0$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{a^n \leq t \leq a^{n+1}} |X(t) - X(a^n)| \geq \eta a^{nH} (\log a^n)^{1/p+\varepsilon} \right) \leq K n^{-(1+p\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{a^n \leq t \leq a^{n+1}} |X(t) - X(a^n)|}{a^{nH} (\log a^n)^{1/p+\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

It is clear that (2.4) follows from (2.6) and (2.11). $\square$

Applying a similar argument to self-similar stable processes with stationary increments, the condition $H > 1/p$ can often be weakened, as shown by the next theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a real-valued self-similar α -stable process with index $H > 0$ and stationary increments. If the sample function $X(t)$ is almost surely bounded on $[0, 1]$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|X(t)|}{t^H (\log t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof. Let $a \geq 2$ be a fixed integer such that $a^{H\alpha} > \max\{2, 2^\alpha\}$. The same proof as in that of Theorem 2.2 shows that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X(a^n)}{a^{nH}(\log a^n)^{1/\alpha+\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Under the assumption that X has almost surely a bounded sample function on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |X(t)| \geq u\right) \leq K u^{-\alpha}.$$

See [7, Theorem 10.5.1]. This and the H -SSSI property of X imply that

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{a^n \leq t \leq a^{n+1}} |X(t) - X(a^n)| \geq a^{nH}(\log a^n)^{1/\alpha+\varepsilon}\right) \leq K n^{-(1+\alpha\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence (2.12) follows from (2.13), (2.14) and the Borel-Cantelli lemma. $\square$

As an example, let us consider the linear fractional stable motion. Given constants $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $H \in (0, 1)$, the α -stable process $\{L_{\alpha,H}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by

$$(2.15) \quad L_{\alpha,H}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(t-s)_+^{H-1/\alpha} - (-s)_+^{H-1/\alpha} \right] A(ds)$$

is called a linear fractional stable motion (LFSM) with indices α and H . In the above, $a_+ = \max\{0, a\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{A(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a two-sided strictly stable Lévy process of index α . Note that, when $H = 1/\alpha$, $L_{\alpha,H}(t) = A(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. When $H \neq 1/\alpha$, the stochastic integral in (2.15) is well-defined because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (t-s)_+^{H-1/\alpha} - (-s)_+^{H-1/\alpha} \right|^\alpha dr < \infty.$$

See [7, Chapter 3]. By (2.15), it can be verified that $\{L_{\alpha,H}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is H -SSSI [7, Proposition 7.4.2]. It is an α -stable analogue of fractional Brownian motion and its probabilistic and statistical properties have been investigated by many authors. In particular, it is known that

- (i) If $1/\alpha < H < 1$ (this is possible only when $1 < \alpha < 2$), then the sample function of $\{L_{\alpha,H}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is almost surely continuous.
- (ii) If $0 < H < 1/\alpha$, then the sample function of $\{L_{\alpha,H}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is almost surely unbounded on every interval of positive length.

We refer to [7, Chapters 10 and 12] and Takashima [8] for more information on asymptotic properties of LFSM.

The following is a corollary of Theorem 2.3.

- If $1/\alpha \leq H < 1$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|L_{\alpha,H}(t)|}{t^H(\log t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- If $0 < H < 1/\alpha$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|L_{\alpha,H}(n)|}{n^H(\log n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

For $1/\alpha < H < 1$, (2.16) is proved in Ayache, Roueff and Xiao [1] by using the wavelet methods. For $0 < H < 1/\alpha$, even though $\{L_{\alpha,H}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is almost surely unbounded on every interval of positive length, its limiting behavior along a fixed sequence is still similar to the $1/\alpha \leq H < 1$ case.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ayache, F. Roueff and Y. Xiao, Linear fractional stable sheets: wavelet expansion and sample path properties. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, to appear.
- [2] S. Chobanyan, S. Levental, V. Madrekar, Prokhorov blocks and strong law of large numbers under rearrangements. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **17** (2004), 647–672.
- [3] S. Chobanyan, S. Levental, H. Salehi, Strong law of large numbers under a general moment condition. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **10** (2005), 218–222.
- [4] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima, *Selfsimilar Processes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.
- [5] F. A. Móricz, The Strong laws of large numbers for quasi-stationary sequences. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **38** (1977), 223–236.
- [6] F. A. Móricz, R. J. Serfling and W. F. Stout, Moment and probability bounds with quasi-superadditive structure for the maximum partial sum. *Ann. Probab.* **10** (1982), 1032–1040.
- [7] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu, *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman and Hall, 1994.
- [8] K. Takashima, Sample path properties of ergodic self-similar processes. *Osaka J. Math.* **26** (1989), 159–189.

ERKAN NANE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849

E-mail address: nane@auburn.edu

URL: <http://www.auburn.edu/~ezn0001>

YIMIN XIAO, DEPARTMENT STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48823

E-mail address: xiao@stt.msu.edu

URL: <http://www.stt.msu.edu/~xiaoyimi>

AKLILU ZELEKE, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48823

E-mail address: zeleke@stt.msu.edu